

On supersymmetric Anti-de-Sitter, de-Sitter and Minkowski flux backgrounds

U. Gran¹, J. B. Gutowski² and G. Papadopoulos³

¹*Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
Email: ulf.gran@chalmers.se*

²*Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey
Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK
Email: j.gutowski@surrey.ac.uk*

³*Department of Mathematics, King's College London
Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK.
E-mail: george.papadopoulos@kcl.ac.uk*

Abstract

We test the robustness of the conditions required for the existence of (supersymmetric) warped flux anti-de Sitter, de Sitter, and Minkowski backgrounds in supergravity theories using as examples suitable foliations of anti-de Sitter spaces. We find that there are supersymmetric de Sitter solutions in supergravity theories including maximally supersymmetric ones in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities. Moreover, warped flux Minkowski backgrounds can admit Killing spinors which are not Killing on the Minkowski subspace and therefore cannot be put in a factorized form.

1 Introduction

Anti-de Sitter (AdS), de Sitter (dS) and Minkowski (Mink) warped flux backgrounds have an important role in a variety of applications in the context of supergravity, string theory, M-theory and gravity/CFT correspondences, for reviews see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Because of this, there has been much progress towards the classification of such backgrounds with special focus on AdS solutions, see e.g. [6]–[12]. A different classification method for AdS and Mink backgrounds has also been proposed in [13, 14, 15] which makes no assumptions on either the form of the fields or that of the Killing spinors apart from imposing on the former the symmetries of AdS or Mink subspaces.

During the historical development of the area, and in efforts to find explicit solutions, some assumptions have been made on the properties of such backgrounds and their Killing spinors in addition to the usual requirement that the fields must be invariant under the isometries of the AdS, dS or Mink subspaces. One of them has been the requirement that the spacetime Killing spinors factorize into Killing spinors of the AdS, dS and Mink subspaces and to Killing spinors on the internal space. These additional assumptions have consequences and a priori put additional restrictions on the type of solutions that can occur as well as the counting of their supersymmetries.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a concise example based description of issues that arise in the understanding of the properties of (supersymmetric) warped flux AdS, dS and Mink backgrounds especially used in, but not exclusively related to, the classification of all such solutions. These issues include the exploration of questions regarding the existence of such backgrounds in supergravity theories, the number of supersymmetries that they preserve, and the way that these supersymmetries can be counted. The last two questions are closely related to the question of whether all Killing spinors can be factorized into Killing spinors on the AdS, dS and Mink subspaces and Killing spinors on the internal spaces¹. Such questions have originally been raised in [13, 14, 15] for warped flux AdS and Mink backgrounds. Here the examples will further elucidate the issues involved and the dS backgrounds will also be included in the analysis.

Our analysis leads to some unexpected conclusions regarding the existence of supersymmetric dS, AdS and Mink backgrounds². There are supersymmetric dS solutions in supergravity theories. In particular, for every supersymmetric AdS_k , $k > 2$, warped flux solution of a supergravity theory there is at least one *supersymmetric warped flux dS_n solution*, $2 \leq n < k$, preserving the same number of supersymmetries. For example, there are maximally supersymmetric dS_n solutions³ in 11-dimensional supergravity for every $2 \leq n < 7$, and similarly in IIB there are maximally supersymmetric dS_n solutions for every $2 \leq n < 5$.

One can also show that similar conclusions to those described above for dS solutions also hold for warped flux Mink backgrounds. In addition, one can show explicitly that

¹We adapt the terminology of compactifications where AdS, dS or Mink is the lower-dimensional spacetime and the transverse space is the internal space. However no restrictions are put on the internal space like the requirement to be compact.

²Note that the spaces AdS_2 and dS_2 are isometric. Their metrics differ by an overall sign which is not an observable.

³All these solutions are locally isometric to $AdS_7 \times S^4$ in agreement with the classification results of maximally supersymmetric solutions in [16].

some supersymmetric warped flux Mink solutions admit Killing spinors which *depend non-trivially on the coordinates of the Mink subspace*. Such Killing spinors are not invariant under all of the symmetries of Minkowski space but nevertheless solve the KSEs of the supergravity theories. Clearly such Killing spinors cannot be written in a factorized form as in (4.1) and therefore their exclusion leads to an incorrect counting of supersymmetries.

A similar analysis can be done for warped flux AdS solutions to conclude that for every supersymmetric AdS_k background, there are warped flux AdS_n backgrounds with $n < k$ that preserve the same number of supersymmetries. So in 11-dimensional supergravity there are maximally supersymmetric warped flux AdS_n solutions for $n \leq 7$ and in IIB for $n \leq 5$.

Before we proceed to explain how we obtain these results let us state our assumptions. It is known for some time that there are no smooth warped flux Mink and dS solutions of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories with compact without boundary internal space [17, 18], see also section 3. So our results on Mink and dS solutions are local and apply to a suitable open set or when the internal spaces can be taken to be non-compact. On the other hand there are smooth warped flux AdS compactifications and therefore our results can apply in general in this case.

The difference between our results and the prevailing line of thought in some of the literature regarding the properties of supersymmetric warped flux AdS, dS and Mink backgrounds can be explained by the way that the supergravity KSEs are solved in the two cases. Typically in the literature, the KSEs are solved after imposing a factorization of the spacetime Killing spinors in terms of suitable Killing spinors⁴ on the AdS, dS or Mink subspace and some Killing spinors on the internal space. In our approach, which has been described in detail for AdS and Mink backgrounds in [13, 14, 15], no such assumption is made. Instead, the spacetime KSEs are integrated over the AdS or Mink subspaces to find the explicit dependence on the coordinates of these spaces, and then the remaining KSEs on the internal space are identified. The Killing spinors do not generically factorize as in (4.1) and (4.2). Presumably a similar analysis can be done for warped flux dS backgrounds. Our results explicitly show that the problem of non-existence of real Killing spinors on the dS space, required by the factorization of Killing spinors, is not a barrier for the existence of Killing spinors over the whole spacetime.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present a class of foliations of AdS spaces with leafs either AdS, dS or Mink subspaces and prove that the metric of the original AdS space takes a suitable warped form. In section 3, we review a non-existence theorem for dS and Mink backgrounds in supergravity theories. In section 4, we investigate the existence of supersymmetric warped flux AdS, dS and Mink backgrounds in supergravity theories, and in section 5 we give our conclusions.

2 Foliating and Warping AdS

The examples we shall present to describe the properties of warped flux AdS, dS and Mink backgrounds utilize the property that the AdS spaces can be foliated with AdS, dS and Mink subspaces. This has been explored before in the literature [19]–[22]. Here we

⁴These are postulated to solve a Killing spinor equation of the type described in (4.2).

shall give a description which is suitable for our purposes and resembles the form used in [23]. It is known that AdS_{n+1} space can be described as a conic

$$-t_1^2 - t_2^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = -\ell^2 , \quad (2.1)$$

where ℓ is the radius. Using polar coordinates for (t_1, t_2) and $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, separately, one can describe the standard metric on AdS_{n+1} in global coordinates.

Furthermore AdS_{n+1} can be seen as a foliation with leafs given by AdS_n . To see this, first set

$$t_1 = \rho \cos(t) , \quad t_2 = \rho \sin(t) , \quad \rho \geq 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and thus the equation for the conic becomes

$$-\rho^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = -\ell^2 , \quad (2.3)$$

and the induced metric on AdS_{n+1} reads

$$ds^2 = -\rho^2 dt^2 - d\rho^2 + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2 , \quad (2.4)$$

where t is not taken to be periodic. Next set

$$\rho = \ell r \cosh y , \quad x_n = \ell \sinh y , \quad (2.5)$$

for $r \geq 0$, and

$$x_j = \ell w_j \cosh y \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1 . \quad (2.6)$$

In these co-ordinates, the equation for the conic becomes

$$-r^2 + w_1^2 + \cdots + w_{n-1}^2 = -1 . \quad (2.7)$$

The resulting metric is

$$ds^2(\text{AdS}_{n+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y (-r^2 dt^2 - dr^2 + dw_1^2 + \cdots + dw_{n-1}^2) \quad (2.8)$$

and hence

$$ds^2(\text{AdS}_{n+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2 y ds^2(\text{AdS}_n) , \quad y \in \mathbb{R} , \quad (2.9)$$

which is clearly in a warped product form with warp factor $\cosh^2 y$. Observe that in this choice of parametrization the metric of both AdS_{n+1} and AdS_n are written in global coordinates. Therefore the whole of AdS_{n+1} is foliated with AdS_n subspaces. Clearly the procedure can be repeated and therefore an AdS space can be foliated with any AdS subspace of lower dimension.

Next let us consider the foliation of AdS_{n+1} with dS_n . To solve the conic equation (2.1), introduce polar coordinates for $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which yields

$$-t_1^2 - t_2^2 + r^2 = -\ell^2 , \quad (2.10)$$

where $r^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. The induced metric then becomes

$$ds^2(AdS_{n+1}) = -dt_1^2 - dt_2^2 + dr^2 + r^2 ds^2(S^{n-1}) . \quad (2.11)$$

Next set $t_2 = \rho \sinh \xi$ and $r = \rho \cosh \xi$, with $\rho \geq 0$. The equation for the conic reads

$$-t_1^2 + \rho^2 = -\ell^2 , \quad (2.12)$$

and the induced metric is

$$ds^2(AdS_{n+1}) = -dt_1^2 + d\rho^2 - \rho^2 d\xi^2 + \rho^2 \cosh^2 \xi ds^2(S^{n-1}) . \quad (2.13)$$

Finally setting $\rho = \ell \sinh y$ and $t_1 = \ell \cosh y$, the metric can be rewritten as

$$ds^2(AdS_{n+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 \sinh^2 y ds^2(dS_n) , \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$ds^2(dS_n) = -d\xi^2 + \cosh^2 \xi ds^2(S^{n-1}) \quad (2.15)$$

is the metric on dS written in global coordinates. Clearly, the metric of AdS_{n+1} is in warped form. Since it is required that $\rho \geq 0$, we have to make the restriction that $\sinh y \geq 0$. Furthermore notice that $t_1 \geq 0$, and as a result only part of AdS_{n+1} is foliated with dS_n subspaces. Alternating AdS and dS foliations of an AdS space, one concludes that (a suitable part of) AdS spaces can be foliated with dS spaces of any lower dimension.

To construct a foliation of AdS_{n+1} with Minkowski subspaces, we can consider the Poincaré patch. In such a case, the metric of AdS_{n+1} can be written as

$$ds^2(AdS_{n+1}) = \ell^2 dy^2 + \ell^2 e^{2y} ds^2(Mink_n) , \quad (2.16)$$

which is of course in warped form. Only part of AdS_{n+1} is foliated in this way.

3 Existence of dS and Minkowski solutions

3.1 Revisiting a non-existence theorem

It is known that there are restrictions on the existence of smooth warped Minkowski and dS solutions with fluxes in 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities [17, 18]. Here, we review the argument from the point of view of the Hopf maximum principle. To be concrete, consider the metric of such a background

$$ds^2 = e^{2\Phi} ds^2(M^n) + ds^2(N^{D-n}) , \quad (3.1)$$

where M^n stands for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$, AdS_n or dS_n and N^{D-n} is the internal space and Φ is a function of N^{D-n} only. The latter is required for the background to preserve the isometries of M^n . Imposing the symmetries of M^n onto the full solution, one can find expressions for the remaining form field strengths of all (massive) IIA, IIB and 11-dimensional supergravity theories.

Next, suppose we are considering only supergravity theories without higher order curvature corrections and sources. In such a case, the Einstein equation in all these theories reveals that the warp factor satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^2 e^{n\Phi} = q e^{(n-2)\Phi} R(M^n) + e^{n\Phi} S(F) , \quad (3.2)$$

where $R(M^n)$ is the Ricci scalar of M^n , $S(F) \geq 0$ is a semi-definite function of the metric and fluxes F , and q depends on the fields and q is a constant $q > 0$.

Now if $R(M^n) \geq 0$, which is the case for Minkowski space and dS, the right-hand side of the above equation is positive semi-definite. In these two cases, one can apply the Hopf maximum principle. Assuming that all the data are smooth, including the warp factor Φ , and that the internal space is compact without boundary, one finds that the only solution is that Φ is constant. Consistency then requires that $R(M^n) = 0$ and $S(F) = 0$. Therefore the equation has smooth solutions if and only if $M^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ and the fluxes are restricted by $S = 0$. The latter condition for 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities requires that the fluxes vanish. Therefore, one concludes the following [17, 18]

- There are no smooth warped flux dS solutions of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities with compact without boundary internal space.
- There are no smooth warped flux Mink solutions to 10- and 11-dimensional supergravities with non-trivial fluxes and compact without boundary internal space.

We remark that this non-existence theorem is a consequence of the field equations and therefore it is valid also for non-supersymmetric solutions. In the case of AdS spaces, the above argument does not apply as the right-hand-side of (3.2) is not positive semi-definite. This is in agreement with the existence of many smooth AdS solutions with compact without boundary internal space.

The Hopf maximum principle can be applied also to spaces with boundary but it requires some additional assumptions. To see this, suppose that N^{D-n} is compact but with a smooth boundary. It turns out that (3.2) again does not admit solutions provided that Φ has a maximum in the interior. This is because if such a maximum existed as a critical point the left-hand-side would have been strictly negative while the right-hand-side is positive semi-definite. The implications for Minkowski and de Sitter backgrounds are as those stated above. However in this case, there may be solutions which do not exhibit a maximum⁵ in the interior. In such a case, the maximum will occur at the boundary of the internal space.

3.2 Robustness of the assumptions

To test the assumptions made for the proof of the non-existence theorem in the previous section, first notice that there exist smooth dS and Mink solutions in supergravity theories with non-compact internal spaces. Examples include the planar M-branes and D3 brane solutions and for dS solutions see [24]. The foliations of AdS space described in section 2 provide many more examples. In what follows we shall examine more closely

⁵Other critical points are allowed, like e.g. saddle points.

the robustness of the assumptions made in the proof of the non-existence theorem in the previous section using the foliations of AdS space.

Clearly, higher order corrections to supergravity coming from string theory or some other modification of supergravity theory that includes higher curvature terms can change the conclusions as they may interfere with the positive semi-definiteness of the right-hand-side of (3.2). A similar conclusion can be reached by adding additional source terms into the Einstein equations or by removing the smoothness assumptions on the fields.

Therefore, for the sake of argument, let us stay within supergravity theory and insist on the smoothness of the fields. In the case of Mink solutions, one can consider one of the smooth AdS solutions of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories, like the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution in IIB or the $AdS_7 \times S^4$ solution of 11-dimensional supergravity. Then write the AdS subspace as a warped product of Minkowski space as described in (2.16) in section 2. The warp factor e^{2y} is smooth. Next if the y coordinate is extended over the whole real line, the internal space N is not compact. On the other hand if it is restricted to an interval it attains a maximum value at the boundary as expected from the Hopf maximum principle. If one tries to periodically identify the y direction so that the internal space is compact, then the warp factor is not continuous. In all cases, the solutions behave as required by the general analysis.

Next consider the dS case. Again let us begin with a smooth AdS solution in 10 or 11 dimensions and then warp the AdS with a dS subspace as described in (2.14) in section 2. In this case, the warp factor is $\sinh^2 y$ with $y > 0$. The warp factor vanishes at $y = 0$, but this is a coordinate singularity as AdS is non-singular. The remarks made for the Mink solutions also apply to this case. The solutions behave as expected from the general theory. Moreover none of the assumptions for the validity of the non-existence theorem can be weakened, as otherwise there are solutions.

4 Supersymmetric AdS, dS and Mink solutions

4.1 Two approaches to supersymmetry

4.1.1 Factorization

There are two approaches to investigate the supersymmetric warped flux AdS, dS and Mink backgrounds of a supergravity theory. The traditional point of view is to consider these backgrounds as compactifications to a lower dimensional theory and *assume* that the spacetime Killing spinors factorize

$$\epsilon = \sum_r \eta_r \otimes \xi_r , \quad (4.1)$$

where η_r are solutions of the KSE⁶

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \eta = \lambda \gamma_\mu \eta , \quad (4.2)$$

⁶In fact, the KSE takes the form $\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \eta = \lambda \gamma_\mu \otimes K \eta$, where K is a matrix that arises from the factorization of spacetime gamma matrices to those on AdS, dS or Mink and those of the internal space. But this has no effect in the arguments that follow.

on AdS, dS or Mink with Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ξ_r are solutions of the KSEs on the internal space, where $R(M^n) = -\lambda^2$ and γ are the scalar curvature and gamma matrices on AdS, dS or Mink spaces, respectively. The KSEs of the internal space are derived after enforcing (4.1) on the supergravity KSEs and using (4.2). Several different factorizations have appeared in the literature depending on the number of terms in the sum in (4.1) and the reality properties of the spinors. All of them lead to constant Killing spinors along the Mink subspaces, in the standard coordinates and frame, of the associated warped flux backgrounds. We shall refer to this as the “factorization” approach or method.

4.1.2 Integration

There is another method to solve the KSEs of supergravity theories without making any assumptions on the form of the Killing spinors, on the internal space N or on the fields, apart from imposing on the latter the isometries of the AdS, dS or Mink subspaces [13, 14, 15]. For this, one substitutes the fields of the associated backgrounds into the supergravity KSEs and solves them along the AdS, dS or Mink subspaces. Then one collects the remaining KSEs along the internal space. This investigation has been carried out for AdS and Mink solutions where the a priori number of supersymmetries preserved by such backgrounds were counted. The novelty of this approach is that it is completely general and the only assumption that is made is the invariance of the fields under the isometries of AdS, dS or Mink. We shall refer to this as the “integration” approach or method.

As we shall explain, with explicit examples below, the factorization approach contains hidden assumptions. As a result, one does not get the most general form of the Killing spinor in this way. Furthermore, it has consequences for the existence of supersymmetric dS backgrounds.

4.2 Minkowski

One of the apparent consequences of the application of the factorization method to Mink solutions is that all the Killing spinors of these solutions do not depend on the standard coordinates of the Mink space. This is because (4.2) in the standard coordinates and frame becomes $\partial\eta = 0$ and so all solutions η are constant. Thus the only expected dependence of the spacetime Killing spinors is on the coordinates of the internal space.

Next consider the integration approach to solving the KSEs of supergravity theories that has been investigated in detail for Mink backgrounds in [13, 14, 15]. There it was found that apart from the constant Killing spinors there may exist some additional “mysterious” ones which depend non-trivially on the standard coordinates of Mink. In particular, these Killing spinors are in the kernel of a Clifford algebra operator Ξ^2 but not in the kernel of Ξ , which is constructed from the metric and fluxes, see [13] for the definition of Ξ in 11 dimensions. Naturally, this observation has led the authors of these papers to argue that in general the Killing spinors of warped flux Minkowski backgrounds do not factorize as in (4.1) and (4.2).

It turns out that there are examples that clarify and confirm the presence of these

additional mysterious Killing spinors in warped flux Mink solutions. These⁷ are the maximally supersymmetric AdS_{n+1} backgrounds of IIB and 11-dimensional supergravity now viewed in the Poincare patch as warped flux $Mink_n$ solutions, see also section 2. Such solutions in Poincare coordinates, and in an appropriate frame, have apart from constant Killing spinors also Killing spinors that depend non-trivially of the coordinates of the $Mink_n$ subspace. The latter are necessary for the correct counting of supersymmetries.

It would be of interest to find whether there are other warped flux Mink solutions of supergravity theories which are not foliations of AdS spaces and admit Killing spinors which depend non-trivially on the standard coordinates of the Mink space. This question can be answered on a case by case basis since given the fluxes and the metric, the kernels of the Clifford algebra operators Ξ^2 and Ξ can be computed explicitly.

4.3 AdS

The warped AdS backgrounds of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories have been investigated in complete generality in [13, 14, 15]. The question of the factorization of Killing spinors as in (4.1) has also been investigated where it was found that in general they do not factorize. This is also supported by the following indirect argument. It is well known that at very large AdS radius the warped flux AdS backgrounds become Mink backgrounds. This limit is smooth on both the fields and the supergravity KSEs. Taking the limit in any factorization method, the only solutions of (4.2) are constant spinors in the standard coordinates of the Mink subspace in the limit. However, as we have explained above there are counter-examples to this.

Here we would like to point out an application of the foliation of AdS spaces with AdS subspaces. As the maximally supersymmetric, and other, AdS backgrounds of supergravity theories can be foliated with AdS subspaces, one concludes that 11-dimensional supergravity admits maximally supersymmetric⁸ AdS_k solutions for every $2 \leq k \leq 7$ and similarly the IIB supergravity admits maximally supersymmetric AdS_k solutions for every $2 \leq k \leq 5$. Of course all these solutions are locally isometric to either $AdS_4 \times S^7$ or $AdS_7 \times S^4$ in 11 dimensions or $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in IIB, all of which are maximally supersymmetric solutions in agreement with the classifications results of [16].

4.4 dS

The KSE (4.2) does not admit any real solutions on the dS spaces⁹. As a result based on the factorization method, it is often stated in the literature that there do not exist supersymmetric warped flux dS backgrounds in supergravity theories with a real supersymmetry parameter. This would exclude for example the existence of supersymmetric dS solutions in 11-dimensional supergravity.

⁷These examples emerged during a discussion of one of us, GP, with A. Tomasiello.

⁸Note that in the non-existence theorem of [11] for AdS_6 solutions, the additional assumption of compactness of the internal space was made. This example illustrates that such an additional assumption is necessary to rule out these solutions.

⁹To resolve this problem, in [25] it was suggested to use a conformal KSE instead of (4.2). However as we shall see this is not necessary in supergravity.

The integration method, unlike the cases for AdS and Mink solutions, has not been applied to warped flux dS backgrounds. Nevertheless, it is possible via explicit examples to argue that there are such supersymmetric dS backgrounds. Indeed consider again supersymmetric AdS backgrounds of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories for which the AdS spaces are foliated with a dS subspace as in (2.14). The resulting metric is a warped product of dS_n space with a transverse space which includes the y coordinate,

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 e^{2\Phi(z)} \sinh^2 y \, ds^2(dS_n) + \ell^2 e^{2\Phi(z)} dy^2 + ds^2(N^{D-n-1}) . \quad (4.3)$$

However, since this is actually an AdS_{n+1} solution, it can preserve some supersymmetry. In fact, if we foliate the maximally supersymmetric $AdS_7 \times S^4$ solutions, we conclude that there are maximally supersymmetric warped flux dS_n backgrounds in 11-dimensional supergravity theory for $2 \leq n < 7$. For this we can appropriately alternate AdS and dS foliations of AdS_7 . A similar conclusion can be reached in IIB based on the maximally supersymmetric $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution for $2 \leq n < 5$. Of course for such backgrounds to be viewed as dS solutions the internal spaces are not compact as expected from the general non-existence theorem in section 3.

Further dS solutions can be constructed in 10- and 11-dimensions using chains of dualities. For example, once can consider $AdS_7 \times S^4$, view S^4 as a warped product foliation of S^3 and then reduced on the Hopf fibre of S^3 to find a new solutions in IIA. This can be re-interpreted as dS_n solution for $n < 7$.

5 Concluding remarks

The foliations of AdS spaces with AdS, dS and Minkowski subspaces can be used as a laboratory to test the various assumptions made on the construction and properties of warped flux AdS, dS and Minkowski backgrounds in supergravity theories. Although these examples are of a particular type, nevertheless they provide answers to a number of subtle questions regarding the properties of these backgrounds. In particular, we have found that warped flux Minkowski solutions admit Killing spinors which can depend non-trivially on the standard coordinates of Minkowski space and that there are supersymmetric warped flux dS solutions in supergravity theories, some of which preserve maximal supersymmetry.

These results have a profound application for the classification of all warped flux compactifications as they question one of the basic assumptions made for their construction; that of the factorization of the Killing spinors in (4.1). As a result of this, the generality of the factorization approach as applied to the classification of such backgrounds must be re-examined. In any case, for AdS and Mink solutions there is the alternative of the integration method for all cases.

The supersymmetric warped flux dS solutions are of particular interest. This is because of cosmological considerations in the context of string and M-theory and the dS/CFT correspondence [26]. It is not apparent that the analysis we have done is sufficient to address the question of existence of appropriate warped flux dS backgrounds suitable for such applications. The main issues of incorporating the dS space in the context of string theory and M-theory, either in a cosmological setting or in dS/CFT, are associated with the non-existence theorems of [17, 18] before the question of supersymmetry arises.

Because of this constructions such as that in [27] may be necessary. However, what our results show using the foliations of AdS spaces is that warped flux dS backgrounds can be supersymmetric in a similar way to that of AdS or Mink backgrounds. In fact, the non-existence of real solutions to (4.2) can be interpreted as breaking all supersymmetry at the compactification scale instead of prohibiting the existence of supersymmetric solutions in the higher dimensional theory. So it would be of interest to integrate the KSEs of supergravity theories on warped flux dS backgrounds and thus extend the integration method to solving the KSEs in this case so that the number of supersymmetries preserved by such backgrounds can be counted and a more systematic search for solutions can be initiated.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank A. Tomasiello for very helpful discussions and D. Martelli for comments. UG is supported by the Swedish Research Council. JG is supported by the STFC grant, ST/1004874/1. GP is partially supported by the STFC rolling grant ST/J002798/1. JG would like to thank the Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool for hospitality during which part of this work was completed.

Data Management

No additional research data beyond the data presented and cited in this work are needed to validate the research findings in this work.

References

- [1] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Kaluza-Klein Supergravity,” Phys. Rept. **130** (1986) 1.
- [2] M. Grana, “Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review,” Phys. Rept. **423** (2006) 91 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2005.10.008 [hep-th/0509003].
- [3] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “Large N field theories, string theory and gravity,” Phys. Rept. **323** (2000) 183 [hep-th/9905111].
- [4] M. Spradlin, A. Strominger and A. Volovich, “Les Houches lectures on de Sitter space,” hep-th/0110007.
- [5] D. Klemm and L. Vanzo, “Aspects of quantum gravity in de Sitter spaces,” JCAP **0411** (2004) 006 [hep-th/0407255].
- [6] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, “Supersymmetric AdS(5) solutions of M theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 4335 [hep-th/0402153].
- [7] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, “Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries,” JHEP **0410** (2004) 025 [hep-th/0409174].

- [8] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, “Supersymmetric AdS(5) solutions of type IIB supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 4693; [hep-th/0510125].
- [9] M. Gabella, D. Martelli, A. Passias and J. Sparks, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric AdS₄ solutions of M-theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **325** (2014) 487 [arXiv:1207.3082 [hep-th]].
- [10] N. T. Macpherson, C. Nez, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. G. J. Rodgers and C. A. Whiting, “Type IIB supergravity solutions with AdS₅ from Abelian and non-Abelian T dualities,” *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 040 [arXiv:1410.2650 [hep-th]].
- [11] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, A. Passias, D. Rosa and A. Tomasiello, “AdS₆ solutions of type II supergravity,” *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 099 Erratum: [*JHEP* **1505** (2015) 012] [arXiv:1406.0852 [hep-th]].
- [12] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, A. Passias and A. Tomasiello, “Supersymmetric AdS₅ solutions of massive IIA supergravity,” *JHEP* **1506** (2015) 195; [arXiv:1502.06620 [hep-th]].
- [13] J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Supersymmetry of AdS and flat backgrounds in M-theory,” *JHEP* **02** (2015) 145; [arXiv:1407.5652 [hep-th]].
- [14] S. W. Beck, J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Supersymmetry of AdS and flat IIB backgrounds,” *JHEP* **02** (2015) 020; [arXiv:1410.3431 [hep-th]].
- [15] S. Beck, J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Supersymmetry of IIA warped flux AdS and flat backgrounds,” *JHEP* **1509** (2015) 135; [arXiv:1501.07620 [hep-th]].
- [16] J. M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, “Maximally supersymmetric solutions of ten-dimensional and eleven-dimensional supergravities,” *JHEP* **0303** (2003) 048 [hep-th/0211089].
- [17] G. W. Gibbons, “Aspects of Supergravity Theories”, Published in GIFT Seminar on Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Related Topics, edited by F. del Aguila, J. A. de Azcarraga and L. E. Ibanez, World Scientific (1984).
- [18] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, “Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no go theorem,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16** (2001) 822 [hep-th/0007018].
- [19] A. Strominger, “AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory,” *JHEP* **9901** (1999) 007; [hep-th/9809027].
- [20] R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, “Surface terms as counterterms in the AdS / CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 104001 [hep-th/9903238].
- [21] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, C. N. Pope and J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, “AdS in warped space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 122003 [hep-th/0005246].

- [22] A. B. Clark, N. Crossette, G. M. Newman and A. Rommal, “AdS-Sliced Flavor Branes and Adding Flavor to the Janus Solution,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) no.2, 026014 [arXiv:1309.7872 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Fontanella, J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Anomaly Corrected Heterotic Horizons,” arXiv:1605.05635 [hep-th].
- [24] G. W. Gibbons and C. M. Hull, “De Sitter space from warped supergravity solutions,” hep-th/0111072.
- [25] T. Anous, D. Z. Freedman and A. Maloney, “de Sitter Supersymmetry Revisited,” *JHEP* **1407** (2014) 119 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)119 [arXiv:1403.5038 [hep-th]].
- [26] A. Strominger, “The dS / CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **0110** (2001) 034 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/10/034 [hep-th/0106113].
- [27] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, “De Sitter vacua in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 046005 [hep-th/0301240].